The possible Role of TCI in ICU

Cesare Gregoretti

Department of Biopathology and Medical Biotechnologies (DIBIMED), Section of Anesthesia, Analgesia, Intensive Care and Emergency, Via del Vespro 129, 90127 Palermo, Italy Email: c.gregoretti@gmail.com

The pharmacokinetics of propofol have been comprehensively studied in the past. $^{1-5}$ Propofol has a suitable pharmacokinetic profile for use by infusion or target-controlled infusion (TCI). $^{6-12}$ TCIs have been used in research and clinical practice for more than 2 decades.^{13–15} A widely used pharmacokinetic model of propofol TCI was developed by Marsh and colleagues,¹⁶ and it was found to have good delivery performance. It was chosen for the commercially avail- able Diprifusor 16,17 system. Barr et al¹¹ found that plasma propofol concentrations corresponding to Ramsay sedation scores of 2, 3, 4, and 5 were 0.25, 0.6, 1.0, and 2.0 μ g/mL. Frolich et al¹⁰ found little systematic bias but poor precision using a lower mean propofol TCI predicted dose than ours. McMurray et al¹² found that the measurement of blood propofol concentrations showed a tendency of Diprifusor TCI to underpredict measured values (measured values higher than indicated, positive bias) in postcardiac surgery patients. Moreover, they demonstrated the tendency of the system to overpredict (negative bias) in general ICU patients. Recently, TCI has also been applied in the intensive care unit (ICU). $^{18-20}$ However, when applied in clinical care, TCI systems may not be as accurate as previously suggested, $^{11-13}$ leading various investigators to refine the infusion model.^{21–29} We recently hypothesized that when propofol TCI with the pharmacokinetic model reported by Marsh is used for prolonged time, it can lose precision and accuracy.¹⁶ The aim of our retrospective study was to determine the relationship, precision, and bias of the Diprifusor in combination with remifentanil patients undergoing neurosurgery under general anesthesia and in need of postoperative ICU sedation. We

retrospectively included patients undergoing general anesthesia for elective neurosurgical removal of brain tumors and postoperative sedation in the intensive care unit over a period of 3 months. TCI of propofol (Diprifusor – Marsh model) and remifentanil were used for general anesthesia and sedation. We compared propofol blood concentration (Cmeas) measured by liquid chromatography-mass spectroscopy with predicted concentrations (Cpred) by the TCI system at 40 minutes (T0),2 hours (T1), and 4 hours (T2) and every 8 hours after starting the drug infusion and at the time of emergence from sedation. Ninety-four paired determinations of Cmeas and Cpred from 15 adult ASA I patients (8 men and 7 women 54.9 ± 13 years old; BMI, $24 \pm 3.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$) were analyzed. Mean duration of drug administration was 31 ± 6 hours. The coefficient of determination (R^2) of the linear regression model for the relationship of Cmeas and Cpred was 0.43. At the time of emergence, Cmeas was $0.5 \pm 0.18 \mu g/mL$. The bias of the TCI system (median performance error) was -34.7%, and the precision (median absolute performance error) was 36%. Wobble and divergence were 0.3% and 12.3%, respectively. This study found bias of the system out of the range of tolerability and showed a high tendency toward overestimation. These findings may lead to undersedation when propofol TCI is used for prolonged infusion In conclusion the main finding of our study was that the bias of the propofol TCI system (Marsh model) was out of the range of tolerability, showing a high tendency toward overestimation. However, if anesthesia and sedation are carefully monitored, Propofol TCI seems to be a safe option in the ASA I neurosurgical population. This altered pharmacokinetic behavior should be taken into consideration to allow a more individualized dosing of propofol TCI and remifentanil when given in prolonged infusion in this patient population. Future pharma- cokinetic propofol models should take into account real patients' data to optimize precision and bias

References

1.Shafer A, Doze VA, Shafer SL, White PF. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of propofol infusions during general anesthesia. *Anesthesiology.* 1988;69(3):348–356.

2.Kanto J, Gepts E. Pharmacokinetic implications for the clinical use of propofol. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 1989;17(5):308–326.

3.Gepts E, Camu F, Cockshott ID, Douglas EJ. Disposition of propofol administered as constant rate intravenous infusions in humans. *Anesth Analg.* 1987;66(12):1256–1263.

4.Albanese J, Martin C, Lacarelle B, Saux P, Durand A, Gouin F. Pharmacokinetics of longterm propofol infusion used for sedation in ICU patients. *Anesthesiology.* 1990;73(2):214– 217.

5.Bailie GR, Cockshott ID, Douglas EJ, Bowles BJ. Pharma- cokinetics of propofol during and after long-term continuous infusion for maintenance of sedation in ICU patients. *Br J Anaesth.* 1992;68(5):486–491.

6.Gepts E. Pharmacokinetic concepts for TCI anaesthesia. *Anaes-thesia*. 1998;53(suppl 1):4–12.

7.Servin FS. TCI compared with manually controlled infusion of propofol: a multicentre study. *Anaesthesia*. 1998;53(suppl 1):82–86.

8.Barvais L, Rausin I, Glen JB, et al. Administration of propofol by target-controlled infusion in patients undergoing coronary artery surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 1996;10(7):877–883.

9.Hoymork SC, Raeder J, Grimsmo B, Steen PA. Bispectral index, serum drug concentrations and emergence associated with indi-vidually adjusted target-controlled infusions of remiferitanil and propofol for laparoscopic surgery. *Br J Anaesth*. 2003;91(6):773–

10. FrolichMA,DennisDM,ShusterJA,MelkerRJ.Precisionand bias of target controlled propofol infusion for sedation. *Br J Anaesth.* 2005;94(4):434–437.

11. BarrJ,EganTD,SandovalNF,etal.Propofoldosingregimens for ICU sedation based upon an integrated pharmacokinetic- pharmacodynamic model. *Anesthesiology*. 2001;95(2):324–333.

12. McMurray TJ, Johnston JR, Milligan KR, et al. Propofol sedation using Diprifusor targetcontrolled infusion in adult intensive care unit patients. *Anaesthesia.* 2004;59(7):636–641.

13. Absalom AR, Mani V, De Smet T, Struys MMRF. Pharmacoki- netic models for propofol– defining and illuminating the devil in the detail. *Br J Anaesth*. 2009;103(1):26–37.

14. Absalom AR, Glen JIB, Zwart GJC, Schnider TW, Struys MMRF. Target-controlled infusion: a mature technology. *Anesth Analg.* 2016;122(1):70–78.

15. StruysMMRF, DeSmetT, GlenJIB, VereeckeHEM, Absalom AR, Schnider TW. The history of target-controlled infusion. *Anesth Analg.* 2016;122(1):56–69.

16. Marsh B, White M, Morton N, Kenny GN. Pharmacokinetic model driven infusion of propofol in children. *Br J Anaesth*. 1991;67(1):41–48.

17. Glen JB. The development of "Diprifusor": a TCI system for propofol. *Anaesthesia*. 1998;53(suppl 1):13–21.

18. ClouzeauB,BuiH-N,VargasF,etal.Target-controlledinfusion of propofol for sedation in patients with non-invasive ventilation failure due to low tolerance: a preliminary study. *Intensive Care Med.* 2010;36(10):1675–1680.

19. Clouzeau B, Bui H-N, Guilhon E, et al. Fiberoptic bron- choscopy under noninvasive ventilation and propofol target- controlled infusion in hypoxemic patients. *Intensive Care Med.* 2011;37(12):1969–1975.

20. Smuszkiewicz P, Wiczling P, Przybylowski K, et al. The phar- macokinetics of propofol in ICU patients undergoing long-term sedation. *Biopharm Drug Dispos.* 2016;37(8):456–466.

21. Struys MM, De Smet T, Depoorter B, et al. Compari- son of plasma compartment versus two methods for effect compartment–controlled target-controlled infusion for propofol. *Anesthesiology.* 2000;92(2):399–406.

22. Schnider TW, Minto CF, Gambus PL, et al. The influence of method of administration and covariates on the phar- macokinetics of propofol in adult volunteers. *Anesthesiology.* 1998;88(5):1170–1182.

23. Vuyk J, Oostwouder CJ, Vletter AA, Burm AG, Bovill JG. Gen- der differences in the pharmacokinetics of propofol in elderly patients during and after continuous infusion. *Br J Anaesth.* 2001;86(2):183–188.

24. Schnider TW, Minto CF, Shafer SL, et al. The influ- ence of age on propofol

pharmacodynamics. Anesthesiology. 1999;90(6):1502–1516.

25.Levitt DG, Schnider TW. Human physiologically based pharma- cokinetic model for propofol. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 2005;5(1):4.

26. Minto CF, Schnider TW, Short TG, Gregg KM, Gentilini A, Shafer SL. Response surface model for anesthetic drug interac- tions. *Anesthesiology.* 2000;92(6):1603–1616.27.

27.Varvel JR, Donoho DL, Shafer SL. Measuring the predictive performance of computercontrolled infusion pumps. *J Pharma- cokinet Biopharm.* 1992;20(1):63–94.28.

28.White M, Kenny GN, Schraag S. Use of target controlled infusion to derive age and gender covariates for propofol clearance. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2008;47(2):119–127.29.

29. Pavan I, Buglione E, Massiccio M, Gregoretti C, Burbi L, Be- rardino M. Monitoring propofol serum levels by rapid and sen- sitive reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography during prolonged sedation in ICU patients. *J Chromatogr Sci.* 1992;30(5):164–166.